



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
ROXANNA ANDREA POPOVICH,
Defendant.

Case No. 25-MJ-3271
ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On May 30, 2025, Defendant Roxanna Andrea Popovich— assisted by a Romanian Language interpreter - made her initial appearance on the criminal complaint filed in this case. Deputy Federal Public Defender Claire Kennedy was appointed to represent Defendant. The government was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Andrew Brown. Defendant submitted on the recommendation of detention in the report prepared by the U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services Officer.

☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.

☒ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The Court concludes that the Government is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

II.

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: ☒ the appearance of the defendant as required.

☒ the safety of any person or the community.

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also

1 considered the report and recommendation prepared by United States Probation
2 and Pretrial Services.

3 IV.

4 The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

5 As to risk of non-appearance:

6 ☒ family ties outside the United States/ no ties to the Central District of
7 California

8 ☒ No legal status in the United States

9 ☒ unverified background information

10 ☒ unstable residence

11 ☒ allegations in the criminal complaint involve the use of false
12 identifications.

13 ☒ Defendant is alleged to have entered the United States without
14 permission after being refused entry during attempts to enter border crossings from
15 Canada

16
17 As to danger to the community:

18 ☒ allegations in the complaint involve a conspiracy to commit access
19 device fraud in connection with a scheme with others to place skimming devices at
20 ATM machines and the use of false identifications to access funds in bank
21 accounts and make withdrawals from the EBT accounts of numerous victims

22 ☒ alleged criminal history in Spain, Denmark and the Netherlands.
23
24
25
26
27
28

V.

The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

[18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]

Dated: May 30, 2025

/s/

ALKA SAGAR
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE